
From: Ted.Matley@dot.gov
To: Miyamoto, Faith
Sent: 10/5/2009 6:34:06 AM
Subject: RE: WHEREAS clause for Section 106 PA
Attachments: WHEREAS.DOC

Faith, here's a revised stipulation we thought works better.

-----Original Message-----

From: Miyamoto, Faith [mailto:fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 02, 2009 7:27 PM
To: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Cc: Spurgeon, Lawrence; Hogan, Steven; Souki, Jesse K.
Subject: FW: WHEREAS clause for Section 106 PA

Hi Ted -

The OIBC and Hui Malama wanted us to do the AIS prior to the FEIS, ROD and Programmatic Agreement. They believe that the Halekauwila Street alignment is the worst possible alignment through the Kakaako area and that if the Project had consulted with them during the AA phase and AIS work done earlier, the alignment would not be where it is. Now that the decision has been made to go on Halekauwila Street, they agree with the Stipulation III that has been developed, but want an acknowledgement that the City knew that there will be burials found on the Halekauwila alignment and that the OIBC may say that the burials need to be preserved in place and avoidance measures need to be taken. They want the record to reflect that they told us so, but the City decided to go with Halekauwila Street.

Hope that clears that up.

Faith

-----Original Message-----

From: Ted.Matley@dot.gov [mailto:Ted.Matley@dot.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 02, 2009 4:48 AM
To: Miyamoto, Faith
Subject: RE: WHEREAS clause for Section 106 PA

Faith, I'm off today but I'll share this internally and we can discuss next week. Also, what did you offer them that you believe addressed their requests? please outline that. thanks.

From: Miyamoto, Faith [mailto:fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov]
Sent: Fri 10/2/2009 3:10 AM
To: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Cc: spurgeon@pbworld.com
Subject: FW: WHEREAS clause for Section 106 PA

Hi Ted -

During an emotional meeting with the Section 106 task force charged with revising Stipulation III, the following WHEREAS clause was requested by the Oahu Island Burial Council. The City thinks we have addressed what the OIBC wants, although maybe not as strong as they want. Please review and let me know if FTA would be willing to include language such as this in the PA.

WHEREAS, the City completed an Alternatives Analysis in 2006 that disclosed the potential to disturb Native Hawaiian burials to the east (Koko Head direction) of Nu'uuanu Stream, and the City after considering both technical information provided in the AA and comments from the public, selected a Locally Preferred Alternative that has the potential to contain Native Hawaiian burials, and the City recognizes that the phased identification and evaluation approach outlined in 36 CFR 800.4(b)(2) may lead to the location of specific Native Hawaiian burials during the Archaeological Inventory Survey, and the City recognizes that the OIBC may require Native Hawaiian burials identified in the AIS to be preserved in place; and

We wanted to have FTA review before we shared it with the task force because if you do not feel that it should be included, then we need to propose some other method to deal with the OIBC's concern/anger regarding this matter, such as stating our understanding of the position that we have put them in in a letter to them.

If you are available, I would like to discuss this matter with you, along with updating you on the happenings with the Section 106 PA and how we should proceed from now. I will be in the office from about 8:15 a.m. tomorrow, except for 1:15 p.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Faith

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.